PSCI 3193: INTERNATIONAL BEHAVIOR Fall 2019 MWF 9:00-9:50

Professor: Dr. Sarah Hunter

Office: 233 Ketchum

Email: Sarah.Hunter@colorado.edu

Office Hours: Monday and Wednesday 10:30am-11:30am

Class Location: HUMN 135

Prerequisites: Restricted to students with 27-180 credits (Sophomores, Juniors or Seniors) only,

PSCI 2223 (Recommended)

Course Description: "Presents alternate theoretical frameworks for the explanation of international processes. Applies theories of conflict behavior and social organization to problems of war and peace" (from CU Course Catalog).

What you should expect from this course:

During the Cold War, Realism was the dominant paradigm in International Relations research. In this paradigm, states act in a cold, calculating, rational manner in order to achieve the best outcome for themselves. Indeed, the rivalry between the United States and the U.S.S.R seemed to confirm that theory. However, the end of the Cold War brought many challenges to realism such as the success of the World Trade Organization and the United Nations. The focus of states on international development and human rights promotion abroad is directly counter to many of the predictions of realism. Realism seemed to miss one important factor: humans. In international relations, humans are making decisions, not states. In this course, we will unravel the puzzle that is the human element in international relations decisions.

In this course we will:

- Explore psychological theories of international relations
- Learn how individual and group decision making impact foreign policy decisions
- Understand how aversion or acceptance of risk or loss impacts state decision making
- Apply these theories to subfields of international relations research, such as human rights, political economy, and conflict studies

What you will bring to the course:

This course will be heavily based on student participation. We will have many simulations, demonstrations, and discussions. As a result, attendance and participation are required of all students. Adequate preparation is also necessary to be able to contribute to class discussions in a meaningful way.

I understand that is can be somewhat uncomfortable to speak up in front of the entire class, therefore I will also require all students to respect one another. Many subjects discussed in this class can be deeply personal to some students. Please respect your classmates' opinions. Any name-calling or any rude behavior will result in the student being asked to leave the class. In a debate, critique ideas, not people.

UNIVERSITY OF COLORADO POLICIES:

ACCOMMODATION FOR DISABILITIES

If you qualify for accommodations because of a disability, please submit your accommodation letter from Disability Services to your faculty member in a timely manner so that your needs can be addressed. Disability Services determines accommodations based on documented disabilities in the academic environment. Information on requesting accommodations is located on the Disability Services website. Contact Disability Services at 303-492-8671 or dsinfo@colorado.edu for further assistance. If you have a temporary medical condition or injury, see Temporary Medical Conditions under the Students tab on the Disability Services website.

CLASSROOM BEHAVIOR

Students and faculty each have responsibility for maintaining an appropriate learning environment. Those who fail to adhere to such behavioral standards may be subject to discipline. Professional courtesy and sensitivity are especially important with respect to individuals and topics dealing with race, color, national origin, sex, pregnancy, age, disability, creed, religion, sexual orientation, gender identity, gender expression, veteran status, political affiliation or political philosophy. Class rosters are provided to the instructor with the student's legal name. I will gladly honor your request to address you by an alternate name or gender pronoun. Please advise me of this preference early in the semester so that I may make appropriate changes to my records. For more information, see the policies on classroom behavior and the Student Code of Conduct.

HONOR CODE

All students enrolled in a University of Colorado Boulder course are responsible for knowing and adhering to the Honor Code. Violations of the policy may include: plagiarism, cheating, fabrication, lying, bribery, threat, unauthorized access to academic materials, clicker fraud, submitting the same or similar work in more than one course without permission from all course instructors involved, and aiding academic dishonesty. All incidents of academic misconduct will be reported to the Honor Code (honor@colorado.edu); 303-492-5550). Students who are found responsible for violating the academic integrity policy will be subject to nonacademic sanctions from the Honor Code as well as academic sanctions from the faculty member. Additional information regarding the Honor Code academic integrity policy can be found at the Honor Code Office website.

SEXUAL MISCONDUCT, DISCRIMINATION, HARASSMENT AND/OR RELATED RETALIATION

The University of Colorado Boulder (CU Boulder) is committed to fostering a positive and welcoming learning, working, and living environment. CU Boulder will not tolerate acts of

sexual misconduct intimate partner abuse (including dating or domestic violence), stalking, protected-class discrimination or harassment by members of our community. Individuals who believe they have been subject to misconduct or retaliatory actions for reporting a concern should contact the Office of Institutional Equity and Compliance (OIEC) at 303-492-2127 or cureport@colorado.edu. Information about the OIEC, university policies, anonymous reporting, and the campus resources can be found on the OIEC website.

Please know that faculty and instructors have a responsibility to inform OIEC when made aware of incidents of sexual misconduct, discrimination, harassment and/or related retaliation, to ensure that individuals impacted receive information about options for reporting and support resources.

RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS

Campus policy regarding religious observances requires that faculty make every effort to deal reasonably and fairly with all students who, because of religious obligations, have conflicts with scheduled exams, assignments or required attendance. In this class, please inform me via email with at least one week's notice. We can then find the best accommodation. See the campus policy regarding religious observances for full details.

OFFICE HOURS:

Every Monday and Wednesday, I will be in my office with the door open from 10:30 to 11:30 am. This is a time I set aside every week just for you. Please feel free to drop in and ask any questions you. might have or discuss any difficulties you are having with the course. There is no need to make an appointment, just drop in!

ASSIGNMENTS AND GRADING:

Your grade this semester will be determined by 5 short analytic essays, a take home final exam, a group project, and attendance/participation. They are weighted as follows:

• 50%: Analytic essays (10% each)

• 20%: Group Project

• 20%: Final Exam

• 10%: Attendance/Participation

Grade Scale:

```
A (95-100); A- (90-94); B+ (87-89); B (84-86); B- (80-83); C+ (77-79); C (74-76); C- (70-73); D+ (67-69); D (64-66); D- (60-63); F (0-59)
```

Assignments:

• Attendance and Participation (10%)-In additions to reading, I believe that application of the material is the best way to learn. In class, I will prepare various simulations and

discussions for the students to apply the information given in lecture and in the reading assignments. Participation is key. In order to participate, one needs to actually be present. Please reference the attendance policy below.

- Attendance/Participation Policy: While attendance will not be strictly tracked in this course, there will be random reading quizzes at the beginning of class. These may not be made up but will be excused if prior arrangements have been made with me. We will also be doing several in-class activities, where participation is expected. The Attendance/Participation portion of your grade is a combination of the quizzes and your participation in class activities.
- Analytic Essays (50%)- Five times during the course of the semester, I will provide a writing prompt. Each student will write 5-7 pages (double-spaced, 1inch margins, 12 point Times New Roman font) in response. These essays are meant to be critical thinking exercises. Therefore, I will require a strong argument and support in response to the question. Each prompt will have a more detailed set of requirements. Essays turned in after the due date will be subject to a late penalty of a one letter grade deduction for each business day it is late. Keep in mind that I want to hear your ideas and will need to gage how well you understand the subject; I do not want a summary of the ideas presented in class.
- Final Exam (20%): The final exam for this course will be a take home exam with a combination of short answer and essay questions. Details to follow closer to exam time.
- Group Projects (20%): Starting midway through the semester, you will be put into small groups to work on a research project that culminates into a final presentation the last week of class. More details to follow.

Course Schedule (Subject to Change):

Week 1	Introduction to the Course
Monday	
August 26	
Wednesday	No Class (APSA)
August 28	
Friday	No Class (APSA)
August 30	
Week 2	Models of Foreign Policy
Monday	No Class (Labor Day)
September 2	
Wednesday	Required Reading:
September 4	Graham T. Allison, "Conceptual Models and the Cuban
Friday	Missile Crisis," American Political Science Review 63, 3
September 6	(September 1969): 689-718 (JSTOR)
Week 3	Rational Choice and War
Monday	Required Reading:
September 9	• Fearon, J.D., 1995. Rationalist Explanations for War.
Wednesday	International organization, 49(3), pp.379–414.
September 11	

Friday	Dutnom D.D. 1000 Diplomatory and domestic malifying the
September 13	• Putnam, R.D., 1988. Diplomacy and domestic politics: the
September 13	logic of two-level games. International organization, 42(3),
Week 4	pp.427–460. Psychology and Foreign Policy
Monday	Required Reading:
September 16	• Simon, H.A., 1985. Human Nature in Politics: The
Wednesday	Dialogue of Psychology with Political Science. The
September 18	American political science review, 79(2), pp.293–304.
	Hafner-Burton, E.M. et al., 2017. The Behavioral
	Revolution and International Relations. International
	organization, 71(1), pp.1–31.
Friday	Analytic Essay #1 Due
September 20	
Week 5	Shortcuts to Rationality
Monday	Required Reading:
September 23	Houghton, D.P., 1996. The Role of Analogical Reasoning in
Wednesday	Novel Foreign-Policy Situations. British journal of political
September 25	science, 26(4), pp.523–552.
Friday	
September 27	Y I IMP II
Week 6	Leaders and IR Decisions
Monday	Required Reading:
September 30	• Gallagher, Maryann E., and Susan H. Allen. "Presidential
Wednesday October 2	personality: Not just a nuisance." Foreign Policy Analysis 10.1 (2014): 1-21
October 2	
	• Eichenberg, R.C., 2016. Gender Difference in American
	Public Opinion on the Use of Military Force, 1982–2013. International studies quarterly: a publication of the
	International Studies Association, 60(1), pp.138–148.
	• Cohn, C., 1987. Sex and Death in the Rational World of Defense Intellectuals. Signs: Journal of Women in Culture
	and Society, 12(4), pp.687–718.
Friday	Analytic Essay #2 Due
October 4	Analytic Essay #2 Duc
Week 7	Groupthink
Monday	Required Reading:
October 7	 Dina Badie; Groupthink, Iraq, and the War on Terror:
Wednesday	Explaining US Policy Shift toward Iraq, Foreign Policy
October 9	Analysis, Volume 6, Issue 4, 1 October 2010, Pages 277–
Friday	296.
October 11	
Week 8	Loss Aversion
Monday	Required Reading:
October 14	require reasing.
Wednesday	7
11 Sallosaay	

October 16 Friday October 18	 Jervis, R., 1992. Political Implications of Loss Aversion. <i>Political Psychology</i>, 13(2), pp.187–204. Nincic, M., 1997. Loss Aversion and the Domestic Context of Military Intervention. <i>Political research quarterly</i>, 50(1), pp.97–120.
Week 9	Framing and Risk
Monday	Required Reading:
October 21	Berejikian, J. and F. Justwan (forthcoming) Testing a
Wednesday October 23	Cognitive Theory of Deterrence. In Jeffrey Knopf, ed. Behavioral Economics and Nuclear Weapons. University of Georgia Press - Link on Canvas • Feng, H. & He, K., 2018. Prospect theory, operational code analysis, and risk-taking behavior: a new model of China's crisis behavior." Contemporary Politics, 24(2), pp.173–190.
Friday	Analytic Essay #3 Due
October 25	
Week 10	Polihueristic Decision Making
Monday	Required Reading:
October 28	• Mintz, A. (2004). How do leaders make decisions? A
Wednesday	poliheuristic perspective. Journal of conflict resolution,
October 30	48(1), 3-13.
Friday	
November 1	
Week 11	Norms
Monday	
November 4	Analytic Essay #4 Due
Wednesday	Required Reading:
November 6	Book Chapters on Canvas
Friday	Samantha Power, "Bystanders to Genocide," The Atlantic Monthly
November 8	288, 2 (September 2001): 84-108 (Google)
Week 12	Taboos
Monday	Required Reading:
November 11	• Press, D.G., Sagan, S.D. & Valentino, B.A., 2013. Atomic
Wednesday	Aversion: Experimental Evidence on Taboos, Traditions,
November 13	and the Non-Use of Nuclear Weapons. The American
Friday	political science review, 107(1), pp.188–206.
November 15	
Week 13	The Global Economy
Monday	Required Reading
November 18	
Wednesday	Posted on Canvas
November 20	
Friday	Analytic Essay #5 Due

November 22	
Week 14	Fall Break/Thanksgiving
Monday	NO CLASS
November 25	
Wednesday	
November 27	
Friday	
November 29	
Week 15	Terrorism
Monday	Required Reading:
December 2	• Gadarian, S.K., 2010. The Politics of Threat: How
Wednesday	Terrorism News Shapes Foreign Policy Attitudes. The
December 4	<i>journal of politics</i> , 72(2), pp.469–483.
Friday	
December 6	
Week 16	Group Presentations
Monday	
December 9	
Wednesday	
December 11	